

Part I

**Corporate Services**

Finance Update

The Corporate Services O&S Panel considered the report and fully endorsed the recommendations to Cabinet. It was recommended that as a new Head of Finance/Deputy Director Corporate & Community Services had been appointed that this was a good opportunity to review the report to make it clearer. The Chairman suggested that it would have been useful to have the report printed in colour with negative figures in red to make it clear.

IPMR

The Corporate Services O&S Panel considered the report and fully endorsed the recommendations to Cabinet (Cllr McWilliams refrained from voting due to his role as Deputy Portfolio Member). The Panel thanked Members and officers for producing the report but Members were concerned about the indicators reporting 'red'; particular concern was raised about:

- CS78 – Number of families supported through Troubled Families. The Panel were concerned that the indicator was off target and that it did not show the outcomes of the support given and it was recommended that the number of families completing the programme should be added.
- SG40 Child Sexual Exploitation. Although the indicator reported it was on target for Q1 there had been an increase of the number of young people on the tracker and thus concern was raised that the report showed no action being required.
- Planning. Concern was raised that reasons given on some indicators still mentioned lack of resource and that this had been an ongoing issue for a number of years. There was also concern that the Borough Local Plan was still reporting 'amber'.
- LE8 Grounds Maintenance Contract Performance. Concern was raised that the contract had been awarded to the existing supplier but performance had significantly dropped.

The Panel also discussed and raised concern about the drop of income from parking (SAMA04) and did not feel that this was all down to Windsor Coach Park, permanent exclusion from school was reporting 'amber' when given 19 pupils had been excluded it should report 'red' and percentage of care leavers in education, employment or training (NEET) it was questioned is the data given was correct as it was showing the percentage not NEET rather than the

percentage in. It was also noted that there was no comments provided for Delayed Transfers.

The Panel also recommended that Table 2 KPIs off target should have a column added showing the initials of the Portfolio Holders and Corporate Directors responsible for the reported indicators and that the Panel would expect the Portfolio Holder and Corporate Directors to attend future Panel meetings when performance had not improved.

With regards to Table 3: Options, the Panel endorsed option 2 and 3 subject to seeing the new look IPMR.

### **Children's Services**

#### **New Primary School Places in Ascot**

No comments received

#### **Changes to the Home to School Transport Policy**

No comments received

### **Culture & Community Services**

#### **IPMR**

The Panel endorsed the report